

Third-Party Plaintiff Klaus' claims against Third-Party Defendants Enbridge and Clermont are likewise **DISMISSED Without PREJUDICE** as unopposed.¹

Third-Party Defendant Enbridge's Motion to Strike for Improper Impleader and Motion to Dismiss for Improper Venue is **DENIED** as **MOOT**. (ECF No. 41).

Third-Party Defendant Clermont's Motion to Dismiss is **DENIED** as **MOOT**. (ECF No. 52).

The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to **CLOSE** this case.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED this 2nd day of July, 2025.



XAVIER RODRIGUEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ The Motion to Dismiss at hand was filed on June 17, 2025. To date, neither Third-Party Defendant has filed a response to Third-Party Plaintiff's Klaus Motion to Dismiss claims against the Third-Party Defendants. Pursuant to local rule CV-7, if no response is filed within 14 days after the filing of a motion, the court may grant the motion as unopposed.